

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/508,990 03/20/2000 TOSHIMI YOKOTA 503.38263X00 7677 24956 7590 09/30/2005 **EXAMINER** MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. O'CONNOR, GERALD J 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 370** ART UNIT ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 3627

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/508,990	Yokota et al.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	O'Connor	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>May 10, 2005 (RCE) and March 16, 2005 (Amdt)</i>		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3, 6, and 8-12</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3, 6, and 8-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>March 16, 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
	or the defined depices her receive	u.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Unit PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 3627 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 16, 2005 has been entered.

Preliminary Remarks

- 2. PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the examiner and Group Art Unit handling this application have changed. The new examiner is *Jerry O'Connor*. The new Group Art Unit number is *3627*.
- 3. This Office action responds to the amendment and arguments filed by applicant on March 16, 2005 in reply to the previous Office action, mailed November 16, 2004.
- 4. The amendment of claims 3, 6, 8, 11, and 12 in the reply filed by applicant on March 16, 2005 is hereby acknowledged.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 3

Drawings

5. The corrected or substitute drawings submitted by applicant were received on March 16, 2005, and are approved as formal drawings.

Information Disclosure Statement

6. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the examiner has cited the references on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Objections

7. The claims are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.75(i), which requires that, "where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation." Applicant is required to either cancel the claim(s), or else to rewrite the claim(s) in proper form.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
 - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
 - (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 9. Claims 3, 6, and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Schrader et al. (US 5,649,115).

Schrader et al. disclose a household account book management apparatus comprising: plural input units which input a variety of account data of different formats from a variety of account data sources, a processing unit which processes the inputted account data, a memory unit which stores the processed account data from said processing unit and a display unit which displays the processed account data stored in said memory unit as a household account book, wherein said memory unit stores a rule for searching for interrelationships among the processed account data, said processing unit includes a data analysis unit which converts the inputted

Art Unit: 3627 Page 5

account data of different formats into a predetermined unified format, and said processing unit further includes an overlap judgment which judges an overlap between the respective converted account data based on the rule stored in said memory unit, and said memory unit stores the overlap judged account data which permit display of money movement between the account data sources. See, in particular, Figures 5-7.

Regarding claim 6, in the household management apparatus of Schrader et al. the processing unit includes a check unit which permits the display of the converted account data on said display unit for verifying the account data converted by said data analysis unit into the predetermined format.

Regarding claim 9, in the household management apparatus of Schrader et al. at least one of the plural input units receives account data from the outside of the household account book managing apparatus via a network.

Regarding claim 10, in the household management apparatus of Schrader et al. the display unit displays money movement between the plural account sources.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed March 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not considered persuasive.
- 11. The arguments regarding the previous prior art rejections have been considered, but have been rendered moot by applicant's amendment, and the consequent new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 6

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the disclosure.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, **Jerry O'Connor**, whose telephone number is (571) 272-6787, and whose facsimile number is (571) 273-6787.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Alexander Kalinowski, can be reached at (571) 272-6771.

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any *one* of fax, mail, or hand delivery. Faxed replies are preferred and should be directed to (571) 273-8300. Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450." Hand delivered replies should be delivered to the "Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314."

GJOC

September 22, 2005

(9-22-05)

Gerald J. O'Connor Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 3627